

Stochastic Interpretation for The Dirichlet-Poisson Problem with Measurable Drift

Liangquan Zhang^{1,2 *}

1. School of Mathematics, Shandong University
Jinan 250100, People's Republic of China.

2. Laboratoire de Mathématiques,
Université de Bretagne Occidentale, 29285 Brest Cédex, France.

25 March 2012

Abstract

In this article, we show the stochastic interpretation for the solution of the Dirichlet-Poisson Problem with bounded, measurable drift and prove it is a viscosity solution as well.

AMS subject classifications. 30E25.

Key words: Dirichlet-Poisson Problem, Sobolev space, Stochastic differential equation, Viscosity solution.

1 Introduction

At the beginning, let \mathcal{D} be a domain (open connected set) in \mathbb{R}^n and let \mathcal{L} denote a elliptic partial differential operator of the following form

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \quad (1.1)$$

*This work was supported by Marie Curie Initial Training Network (ITN) project: "Deterministic and Stochastic Controlled System and Application", FP7-PEOPLE-2007-1-1-ITN, No. 213841-2 and National Natural Science Foundation of China Grant 10771122, Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province of China Grant Y2006A08 and National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program, No. 2007CB814900) and . Corresponding author, E-mail: xiaoquan51011@163.com.

where $b_i(x)$ is a measurable and bounded function while $a_{i,j}(x) = a_{j,i}(x)$ is a continuous functions (see below). Now consider the following combined Dirichlet-Poisson Problem:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}w = -g, & \text{in } \mathcal{D}, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow y, x \in \mathcal{D}} w(x) = \Psi(y), & y \in \partial\mathcal{D}, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $g \in C(\mathcal{D})$ and $\Psi \in C(\partial\mathcal{D})$ are given functions. When b_i and $a_{i,j}$ satisfy Lipschitz condition and other some smooth conditions, \mathcal{L} is uniformly elliptic in \mathcal{D} , then if the function $w \in C^2(\mathcal{D})$ solves the Dirichlet-Poisson Problem, it can be expressed by

$$w(x) = \mathbb{E}^x[\Psi(X_{\tau_{\mathcal{D}}})] + \mathbb{E}^x\left[\int_0^{\tau_{\mathcal{D}}} g(X_s) ds\right], \quad x \in \mathcal{D}, \quad (1.3)$$

which, of course, is also a viscosity solution. (see Theorem 9.3.3 in [4]).

A natural question arises is that when the coefficient b is only measurable and bounded, and w is a unique weak solution (for example, Sobolev or viscosity solution), do we have above expression (1.3)? Our answer is positive.

This paper is organized as follows: after giving the preliminaries in Section 2, we are devote to showing the stochastic interpretation of the solution of the Dirichlet-Poisson Problem and prove it is also a viscosity solution as well.

2 Preliminaries

Let $v = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, P, W)$ be a reference probability system composed of a completed probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , a filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying the usual assumptions of right-continuity and completeness, and a d -dimensional (\mathcal{F}_t) -Brownian motion W defined on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) .

Let

$$W^{k,p}(\mathcal{D}) = \{u \in W^k(\mathcal{D}); D^\alpha u \in L^p(\mathcal{D}) \text{ for all } |\alpha| \leq k\}.$$

The space is obviously linear. A norm is introduced by defining

$$\|u\|_{k,p;\mathcal{D}} = \|u\|_{W^{k,p}(\mathcal{D})} = \left(\int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} |D^\alpha u|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (2.0)$$

Note that $W^{k,p}(\mathcal{D})$ is a Banach space under norm (2.0). Another Banach space $W_0^{k,p}(\mathcal{D})$ arisen by taking the closure of $C_0^k(\mathcal{D})$ in $W^{k,p}(\mathcal{D})$. The space $W^{k,p}(\mathcal{D})$, $W_0^{k,p}(\mathcal{D})$ do not coincide for bounded \mathcal{D} . Let $C(\mathcal{D})$ denote the set of continuous functions on \mathcal{D} .

Consider the following SDEs:

$$\begin{cases} dX(t) = b(X(t))dt + \sigma(X(t))dW(t), \\ X(0) = x. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

We assume the following conditions:

A1: There exist a constant $K \geq 0$, such that

$$|b(x)| + |\sigma(x)| \leq K, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

for dx -almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

A2: σ is a continuous function from $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$, satisfies the uniformly elliptic condition, i.e.,

$$\exists \lambda > 0; \quad \forall (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \xi^* \sigma \xi \geq \lambda |\xi|^2,$$

where ξ^* denotes the adjoint of ξ .

A3: Assume that

$$\sigma \in W_{loc}^{1,2(n+1)}(\mathcal{D}).$$

Let recall some result from [1].

Proposition 1. *Suppose that assumptions (A2)-(A3) are satisfied. Then SDEs (2.1) has one and only one strong solution.*

For simplicity, let \mathcal{K}_R be a unit ball with the radius $R > 0$. Now define

$$\tau(x) \doteq \inf \{t \geq 0 : X(t) \notin \mathcal{K}_R\}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{K}_R \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}(x) = \mathbb{E} \left[\Psi(X(\tau(x))) + \int_0^{\tau(x)} g(X(s)) ds \right], \quad x \in \mathcal{K}_R. \quad (2.3)$$

Let us recall

Proposition 2 (Theorem 9.15 and Corollary 9.18 in [3]). *Assume that (A1)-(A3) hold. Then the Dirichlet-Poisson Problem*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}w = -g, & \text{in } \mathcal{K}_R, \\ w - \Psi \in W_0^{1,p}(\mathcal{K}_R), \\ w(x) = \Psi(y), & y \in \partial\mathcal{K}_R, \\ \Psi \in W^{2,p}(\mathcal{K}_R), \quad g \in L^p(\mathcal{K}_R), \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

has a unique strong solution $u \in W^{2,p}(\mathcal{K}_R) \cap W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathcal{K}_R) \cap C(\overline{\mathcal{K}_R})$, $p > n$.

3 Main Result

First of all, we have the following Krylov's estimate.

Lemma 3. *Suppose that assumptions (A1)-(A3) are satisfied. Then for any Borel function $f \in L^q(\mathcal{K}_R)$, and $q > n + 2$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{T \wedge \tau(x)} f(X(t)) dt \right] \leq N \|f\|_{L^q(\mathcal{K}_R)}, \quad (3.1)$$

where N is a constant depending only on \mathcal{K}_R , $T > 0$ and K .

We omit the proof of Lemma 3 since it is very similar to that of Theorem 3 in [5]. The following result generalizes Krylov's extension of Itô's formula.

Lemma 4. *Assume that (A1)-(A3) holds. Then for any $u : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ from the Sobolev space $W^{2,p}(\mathcal{K}_r)$, $p > n + 2$ we have*

$$u(X(t)) - u(x) = \int_0^t (\mathcal{L}u(X(s))) ds + \int_0^t \partial_x u(X(s)) \sigma(X(s)) dW_s, \quad (3.2)$$

almost surely for $t \leq \tau(x)$.

Remark 5. *Because the diffusion term is not degenerated, the τ is almost surely finite.*

Proof. We first show that each integral in (3.2) is well-defined. By virtue of Sobolev's embedding theorem there exists a constant N such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathcal{K}_R} \left(|u(x)| + \sum_i |\partial_{x_i} u(x)| \right) \leq N \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\mathcal{K}_R)}.$$

for all $u \in W^{2,p}(\mathcal{K}_R)$, $p > n + 2$. Hence, for any $T > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\tau(x) \wedge T} |\partial_x u(X^{x,\varepsilon}(s))|^2 ds \right] \leq KT \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\mathcal{K}_R)}^2, \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\tau(x) \wedge T} |b_i(X^{x,\varepsilon}(s)) \partial_{x_i} u(x)| ds \right] \leq KT \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\mathcal{K}_R)}, \quad (3.4)$$

by the boundness of b and σ . Moreover,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\tau(x) \wedge T} |\partial_{x_i, x_j} u(X^{x,\varepsilon}(s))| ds \right] \leq N \|\partial_{x_i, x_j} u\|_{L^p(\mathcal{K}_R)} \leq NT \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\mathcal{K}_R)}, \quad (3.5)$$

Consequently, the right-hand side of (3.2) is well-defined for $t \leq T \wedge \tau(x)$.

As a matter of fact, for $u \in W^{2,p}(\mathcal{K}_R)$ there exists a sequence of function u_n in $C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|u_n - u\|_{W^{2,p}(\mathcal{K}_R)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Applying Itô's formula we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& u_n(X(t \wedge \tau(x) \wedge T)) - u_n(x) \\
&= \int_0^{t \wedge \tau(x) \wedge T} (\mathcal{L}u_n(X^{x,\varepsilon}(s))) ds + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau(x) \wedge T} \partial_x u_n(X(s)) \sigma(X(s)) dW_s.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

for any $t \geq 0$. On the other hand, the inequalities (3.3)-(3.5) hold with $u - u_n$ replacing of u , with constants independent of n . Lastly, letting $n, T \rightarrow +\infty$ in (3.6) we get the desired result. \square

We are now in a position to make an important observation as follows:

Theorem 6. *Under the assumption (A1)-(A3), let $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\mathcal{K}_R) \cap W^{2,p}(\mathcal{K}_R) \cap C(\mathcal{K}_R)$, $p > n + 2$, be a unique solution of (2.4). Then we have*

$$u(x) = \mathcal{U}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{K}_R.$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 4 to u and taking the expectation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}[u(X(\tau(x))) - u(x)] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\tau(x)} \mathcal{L}u(X(s)) ds + \int_0^{\tau(x)} g(X(s)) ds \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \int_0^{\tau(x)} g(X(s)) ds + \int_0^{\tau(x)} \partial_x u(X(s)) \sigma(X(s)) dW_s \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}u(X(s)) + g(X(s))] = 0, \\ \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^{\tau(x)} \partial_x u(X(s)) \sigma(X(s)) dW_s \right] = 0, \quad 0 \leq s \leq \tau(x), \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$u(x) = \mathcal{U}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{K}.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Now let us introduce the following definitions of viscosity solution for second-order PDE from [2]:

$$F(x, V, DV, D^2V) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes an open set.

Definition 7. (i) *We say that $V \in C(\Omega)$ is a viscosity subsolution of (3.7) at a point $x_0 \in \Omega$, if and only if, for any test function $\varphi \in C^2(\Omega)$ such that $V - \varphi$ has a local maximum at x_0 , then*

$$F(x_0, V(x_0), D\varphi(x_0), D^2\varphi(x_0)) \leq 0; \tag{3.8}$$

(ii) We say that $V \in C(\Omega)$ is a viscosity supersolution of (3.7) at a point $x_0 \in \Omega$, if and only if, for any test function $\varphi \in C^2(\Omega)$ such that $V - \varphi$ has a local minimum at x_0 , then

$$F(x_0, V(x_0), D\varphi(x_0), D^2\varphi(x_0)) \geq 0; \quad (3.9)$$

(iii) We say that V is a viscosity solution in the open set Ω if V is a viscosity subsolution and a viscosity supersolution, at any point $x_0 \in \Omega$.

We will characterize the value function (2.3) as a unique viscosity solution of the PDE (2.4).

Theorem 8. *Under assumptions (A1)-(A3). Then, u is a unique viscosity solution of the Dirichlet-Poisson equation (2.4).*

Proof. The uniqueness is obtained from Proposition 2. We shall prove u is the viscosity solution of (2.4). Obviously, $u(x) = \Psi(x)$, $x \in \partial\mathcal{K}$. Let us show in a first step that u is a viscosity super-solution. For this we suppose that, for all compactly-supported $C^2(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$ (twice differentiable with continuous second derivatives) function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, whenever x_0 is a point of local minimum of $u - \varphi$, that is

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(x_0) = u(x_0), \\ \varphi(y) \leq u(y), \quad y \neq x_0. \end{cases}$$

Then, thanks to the pathwise uniqueness of SDEs (2.1), we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X(t))] - \varphi(x_0) \leq -\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t g(X(s)) ds\right].$$

By virtue of Dynkin's formula, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n b(x_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \varphi(x_0) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \varphi(x_0) + g(x_0) \leq 0.$$

Similarly, the sub-solution is proved. The proof is complete. \square

Acknowledgements:

References

- [1] Bahlali, K., 1999. Flows of homeomorphisms of stochastic differential equations with measurable drift, *Stochastics An International Journal of Probability and Stochastic Processes*, 67: 1 53 — 82.
- [2] Fleming, W., Soner, H. M., *Controlled Markov Processes and Viscosity Solutions*, Springer, second edition.

- [3] Gilbarg, D., Trudinger, N., Elliptic Partial Differential equations of second order, Springer, 1998.
- [4] Øksendal, B., 2003. Stochastic differential equations, Sixth Edition, Springer, 2003.
- [5] Zvonkin, A.K., 1974. A transformation of the phase space of a diffusion process that removes the drift, Mat. Sb. (1) 93 (135).